

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,523	10/30/2000	Scott A. Wilber	2022/002D1	7309	
24283 7.	590 10/17/2002				
PATTON BOGGS			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 27093 LOUISVILLE,			MAI, TAN V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2124	#11	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002	71.77	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No. Applicant(s)			γ,
Office Action Summary	Examiner 1	<u>}</u>	Crown And I took	
•		· /	Group Art Unit 21 27	
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and a	4	·		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet i	peneath the	correspondence addres	SS
Period for Reply	7			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(	S) FROM THE MAILING	DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> </ul>	oly within the statutory minir expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30 m the mailing d	days will be considered time     ate of this communication .	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30-02			
☐ This action is FINAL.		,		<del></del> •
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>			o the merits is closed in	า
Disposition of Claims				
©Claim(s) 57-66	./	is/arc	nanding in the application	nn.
Of the above claim(s)				
Claim(a)	•- (	Series and the series		
© Claim(s) 57-66	- Inne Paris	ie/are	raioweu.	
☐ Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		·		
Application Papers	,		rement.	ction
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Povious PTO 049			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•	□ disapprov	ed	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	. ,	_ dioappior	ou.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	- , ,			
	r)			
<ul> <li>received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number</li> <li>received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)	).	
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s) 🗆 I	nterview Sun	nmary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			rmal Patent Application, F	PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948				
Office :	Action Summary			<i>a</i> 1

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 2124

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 57-66 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. Claims 57-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dias in view of Vaughan.

Dias and Vaughan have been dicussed in the previous office action (Paper No. 8).

As per independent claims 57, 59 and 66, Dias discloses, e.g., see Fig. 1, the invention substantially as claimed, including: a random number generator (10), interfaces (16 & 29) and CPU (14). It is noted that Dias does not specifically detail the claimed (1) "true random number generator" and (2) "computer" features; however, (1) "true random number generator" feature is old and well known in the art, e.g., see Dias, col. 1, lines 22-25, or Vaugham, Fig. 2 and col. 7, lines 6-17; and (2) the CPU (14) should be a part of computer. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Vaughan "true random number generator" in Dias because the proposed system is a true random number generator device as claimed.

As per dependent claims 58 and 60-65, the detail features are obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

4. Due to the new ground of rejection cited abobe, that the office action is NON-FINAL

Application/Control Number: 09/699,523 Page 3

Art Unit: 2124

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER